
Guidelines for peer review

As a peer reviewer for **MedPulse Spectrum**, we kindly request that you adhere to the following guidelines:

Expertise and Objectivity:

- Accept review invitations only if the manuscript aligns with your area of expertise.
- Provide an unbiased and constructive assessment of the manuscript's strengths and weaknesses.

Timeliness:

- Respond promptly to review invitations and inform us if you are unable to meet the deadline.
- Complete the review within the specified timeframe to facilitate timely publication.

Confidentiality:

- Treat all manuscripts as confidential documents; refrain from discussing them with others.
- Do not use any information from the manuscript for personal gain or research without proper citation.

Conflicts of Interest:

- Disclose any potential conflicts of interest that could affect your review.
- If you feel you have a significant conflict, kindly decline the review invitation.

Content and Structure:

- Evaluate the manuscript's originality, relevance, and significance to the field.
- Provide feedback on the clarity and logical flow of the content, including headings, sections, and figures.

Methodology and Results:

- Assess the appropriateness and rigor of the study design, methods, and statistical analysis.
- Evaluate the presentation and interpretation of results, highlighting any concerns.

Ethical Considerations:

- Alert us to any potential ethical concerns, such as plagiarism, data manipulation, or unethical practices.
- Ensure the research adheres to ethical guidelines and principles.

Constructive Feedback:

- Offer specific and actionable feedback to help authors improve their manuscript.
- Suggest areas for revision and provide recommendations for enhancing the overall quality.

Reviewer Comments:

- Use the designated fields in the review system for your comments to authors and confidential comments to the editor.
- Maintain professionalism and courtesy in your comments.

Recommendation:

- ➔ Clearly indicate your recommendation for the manuscript (e.g., accept, minor revisions, major revisions, reject).
- ➔ Justify your recommendation based on your assessment.

Revision Follow-up:

- ➔ If the manuscript is revised, assess whether the authors adequately addressed your previous comments.
- ➔ Provide further feedback as needed and reiterate your recommendation.

Review Quality:

- ➔ Strive for thorough, well-structured, and insightful reviews that benefit both authors and the journal.
- ➔ Your contribution is essential in maintaining the journal's high standards.

We sincerely appreciate your commitment to advancing scholarly research and knowledge through your valuable reviews. Thank you for your dedication to **MedPulse Spectrum**.

If you have any questions or need further assistance, please don't hesitate to contact our editorial team at editor@jouex.com

Competing Interest:

At **MedPulse Spectrum**, transparency and integrity are paramount in our scholarly endeavors. We require all authors, reviewers, and editorial staff to disclose any potential conflicts of interest that could influence their work and decisions. This disclosure fosters trust, maintains objectivity, and upholds the highest standards of research and publication ethics.